

br

Internal  
C0150015  
# 3552  
K

### EVENT VIOLATION INSPECTOR'S STATEMENT

Company/Mine: Consolidation Coal Co/Emery Deep Mine  
Permit #: C/015/015

NOV # 10057  
Violation # 1 of 1

#### A. SERIOUSNESS

1. What type of event is applicable to the regulation cited? Refer to the DOGM reference list of event below and remember that **the event is NOT the same as the violation**. Mark and explain each event.

- |                                     |    |  |
|-------------------------------------|----|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | a. | Activity outside the approved permit area.                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | b. | Injury to the public (public safety).                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | c. | Damage to property.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | d. | Conducting activities without appropriate approvals.           |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | e. | Environmental harm.  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | f. | Water pollution.   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | g. | Loss of reclamation/revegetation potential.                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | h. | Reduced establishment, diverse and effective vegetative cover. |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | i. | No event occurred as a result of the violation.                |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | j. | Other.   |

Explanation: Failure to maintain siltation structure (silt fence) located at the 4<sup>th</sup> East Portal Area. Silt fences located on the North and West side of the permit area..

2. Has the even occurred? No

If yes, describe it. If no, what would cause it to occur and what is the probability of the event(s) occurring? (None, Unlikely, Likely).

Explanation: Storm event would be likely to cause event to occur.

3. Did any damage occur as a result of the violation? No

If yes, describe the duration and extent of the damage or impact. How much damage may have occurred if the violation had not bee discovered by a DOGM inspector? Describe this potential damage and whether or not it would extend off the disturbed and/or permit area.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

**B. DEGREE OF FAULT** (Check the statements which apply to the violation and discuss).

- ☐ Was the violation not the fault of the operator (due to vandalism or an act of God), explain. Remember that the permittee is considered responsible for the actions of all persons working on the mine site.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

- ☒ Was the violation the result of not knowing about DOGM regulations, indifference to DOGM regulations or the result of lack of reasonable care.

Explanation: Lack of reasonable care. Failed to maintain siltation structure (silt fence) portions of silt fence completely obliterated.

- ☐ If the actual or potential environmental harm or harm to the public should have been evident to a careful operator, describe the situation and what, if anything, the operator did to correct it prior to being cited.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

- ☒ Was the operator in violation of a specific permit condition?

Explanation: Failure to maintain siltation structure.

- ☐ Has DOGM or OSM cited the violation in the past? If so, give the dates and the type of warning or enforcement action taken.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

**C. GOOD FAITH**

1. In order to receive good faith for compliance with an NOV or CO, the violation must have been abated before the abatement deadline. If you think this applies, describe how rapid compliance was achieved (give date) and describe the measures the operator took to comply as rapidly as possible.

Explanation: Rapid compliance occurred. The abatement date was set for July 15, 2010. Notified by Ian McClain Consol on June 15, 2010 by phone that the silt fence had been replaced

Event Violation Inspector's Statement

NOV/CO # NOV #10057  
Violation # 1 of 1

and/or repaired. Priscilla Burton confirmed that the citation had been abated on June 17, 2010.  
Citation was issued on June 14, 2010.

2. Explain whether or not the operator had the necessary resources on site to achieve compliance.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

3. Was the submission of plans prior to physical activity required by this NOV / CO? No If yes, explain.

Explanation: \_\_\_\_\_

Karl R. Houskeeper  
Authorized Representative

Karl R. Houskeeper  
Signature

June 22, 2010  
Date

kh  
O:\015015.EME\FINAL\10057eventvioinspectorstater.doc